Secondhand Smoke from Marijuana: The Return of a Familiar Problem

Matthew L. Springer, Ph.D. Professor of Medicine, UCSF 4/24/18

Ming Chen Ronak Derakhshandeh Daniel Han Jiangtao Liu Leila Mohammadi Pooneh Nabavizadeh Rafsanjani Huiliang Qiu Jess Rodriguez Rich Sievers Xiaoyin Wang

Suzaynn Schick Peter Ganz Stanton Glantz



Kranthi Pinnamaneni Christian Heiss Shilpa Narayan Robert Su Eric Nordsieck Qiumei Chen Sharina Ibrahim Rikki Sharma Amanda Selchau Stanley Ye Stephenie Le Emmy Luu Olivia Danforth **Gus Guttierez Kirstin Aschbacher**

Flight Attendant Medical Research Institute, NIH/NIDA, FDA Center for Tobacco Products NIH/NHLBI, Elfenworks Foundation, CA Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program



The Bad Ol' Days



The Bad Ol' Days



Typical day in 2016

Back to the Future: CA, 2018?

17

🗹 f 🄰 👂 🍜 🖇



Photo: Brennan Linsley / Associated Press

"Partygoers dance and smoke pot April 19, the first of two days of the annual 4/20 marijuana festival in Denver. The 4/20 event was the first one since Colorado legalized recreational marijuana in January."

What To Expect At This Year's 420 Day Celebration



Mon. April 16, 2018, 12:28pm



by Nathan Falstreau @NFalstreau

Neighborhoods Cole Valley, Golden Gate Park, Inner Richmond, Inner Sunset, NoPa, Upper Haight

Robin Williams Meadow, San Francisco, CA







-7

5:04 57

Already: SF, 2017... REALLY!!!



"NOW SERVING CANNABIS FOR BRUNCH

In S.F., gourmet fare infused with artisanal weed offers medicated spreads to new connoisseurs" – SF Chronicle 1/22/17



Secondhand smoke (SHS)

- Smoking causes over 140,000 cardiovascular deaths in the US per year
- Secondhand smoke is estimated to cause ~50,000 US deaths/year, mostly from cardiovascular disease
- Smoking bans in public places lead to reduction in frequency of heart attacks

Secondhand smoke (SHS)

Longterm secondhand smoke exposure impairs vascular endothelial function

"blood vessel function"

Tobacco secondhand smoke exposure impairs ability of arteries to *vasodilate* when they need to pass more blood

(Vasodilation: Arteries grow in diameter when necessary)

Important: Impairment is temporary, but repeated exposures lead to longterm impairment

Brachial artery FMD gets lower with increasing cardiovascular risk factors

Dilation of coronary arteries in response to increased coronary blood flow gets lower with increasing cardiovascular risk factors (Nabel, Selwyn, and Ganz, 1990)

Improves FMD: Dark chocolate, green tea, red wine, *etc*. *Impairs* FMD: Age, smoking, secondhand smoke, *etc*.

Measuring Endothelial Function "Flow-Mediated Dilation" (FMD)



Celermajer NEJM 1992





Cigarette smoking is associated with dose-related and potentially reversible impairment of endothelium-dependent dilation in healthy young adults DS Celermajer, KE Sorensen, D Georgakopoulos, C Bull, O Thomas, J Robinson and JE Deanfield *Circulation* 1993;88;2149-2155

...that is, smoking impairs FMD



PASSIVE SMOKING AND IMPAIRED ENDOTHELIUM-DEPENDENT ARTERIAL DILATATION IN HEALTHY YOUNG ADULTS

DAVID S. CELERMAJER, PH.D., MARK R. ADAMS, M.B., B.S., PETER CLARKSON, M.B., B.S., JACQUI ROBINSON, R.N., ROBYN MCCREDIE, B.SC., ANN DONALD, AND JOHN E. DEANFIELD, M.B., CH.B.



Brief Secondhand Smoke Exposure Depresses Endothelial Progenitor Cells Activity and Endothelial Function

Sustained Vascular Injury and Blunted Nitric Oxide Production

Christian Heiss, MD, DR MED,* Nicolas Amabile, MD,* Andrew C. Lee, MD,* Wendy May Real, BS,*
Suzaynn F. Schick, PHD,† David Lao, MD,* Maelene L. Wong, BS,* Sarah Jahn, MB,*
Franca S. Angeli, MD,* Petros Minasi, BA,* Matthew L. Springer, PHD,*
S. Katharine Hammond, PHD,‡ Stanton A. Glantz, PHD, FACC,* William Grossman, MD, FACC,*
John R. Balmes, MD,*† Yerem Yeghiazarians, MD, FACC*

San Francisco and Berkeley, California



Heiss

30 min SHS exposure impairs FMD

 $376\pm43~\mu\text{g/m}^3$ RSP, constant sidestream smoke



Heiss et al., 2008 Bars = SEM

FMD measured in rat hindlimb using micro-ultrasound





1 minute of secondhand smoke (tobacco) exposure was enough to impair vascular endothelial function



ORIGINAL INVESTIGATION

Brief Exposure to Secondhand Smoke Reversibly Impairs Endothelial Vasodilatory Function

Kranthi Pinnamaneni MD¹, Richard E. Sievers BS², Rikki Sharma BS², Amanda M. Selchau BS², Gustavo Gutierrez AS³, Eric J. Nordsieck MD², Robert Su MD², Songtao An MD, PhD¹, Qiumei Chen MD, PhD¹, Xiaoyin Wang MD¹, Ronak Derakhshandeh MS², Kirstin Aschbacher PhD⁴, Christian Heiss MD, Dr med², Stanton A. Glantz PhD^{1,2}, Suzaynn F. Schick PhD⁵, Matthew L. Springer PhD^{1,2,6}

It's not enough simply to minimize public exposure to secondhand smoke; it's important to prevent exposure

Impairment of Endothelial Function by Little Cigar Secondhand Smoke

Jiangtao Liu, MD Xiaoyin Wang, MD Shilpa Narayan, BS Stanton A. Glantz, PhD Suzaynn F. Schick, PhD Matthew L. Springer, PhD

Tobacco Regulatory Science. 2016;2(1):56-63





Jiangtao Liu

_ Inhalation of Heat-Not-Burn Tobacco Aerosol Impairs Vascular Endothelial Function

Pooneh Nabavizadeh MD¹, Jiangtao Liu MD¹, Sharina Ibrahim BSc², Ronak Derakhshandeh MS¹, Matthew L. Springer PhD^{1,2,3}

University of California San Francisco

Cardiovascular Research Institute¹, Division of Cardiology², and Center for Tobacco Control Research and Education³ University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California

(AHA 2017 Scientific Sessions)

Introduction: "Heat-not-burn" (HNB) tobacco devices heat tobacco at temperatures that avoid combustion but cause the nicotine to aerosolize, leaving the leaf material intact but depleted of volatile substances. A new HNB product, iQOS, from Philip Morris, has been test marketed in several non-US countries and has been considerably more successful than previously introduced HNB products¹. Despite harm reduction claims by the tobacco industry², the health effects of HNB products are incompletely understood. Notably, industrysupported studies of potential cardiovascular consequences of HNB aerosol exposure published to date³ have not included some common measures of adverse effects of smoke exposure, such as vascular endothelial function tested in vivo⁴

Figure 1. iQOS. iQOS is composed of three main parts: HeatStick, holder, and pocket charger. HeatSticks are inserted in the holder, which contains an electronic heating blade to heat tobacco and release aerosol. HeatSticks contain strips of processed and reformed tobacco. (Photo: M. Springer)



Methods: We exposed rats (n=8/group) via nose cone to iQOS aerosol, Marlboro cigarette mainstream smoke, or clean air as a control, ten times over 5 min to approximate the consumption of a single iQOS HeatStick. Exposure conditions were 15 seconds and 5 seconds twice per minute. To generate the aerosol and mainstream smoke, we used a manual system for the 15-second and an analytical vaping machine for the 5-second exposure (Figure 2). Arterial flowmediated dilation (FMD) was quantitated pre- and postexposure by measuring femoral artery diameter with microultrasound before and after 5 min of transient surgically induced ischemia, and expressed as the percent vasodilation^{5,6} (Figure 3). Serum samples were collected after the exposure and assessed for nicotine and cotinine levels.

Figure 2. Aerosol generator and exposure systems. A. Manual exposure system; B. Analytical vaping machine made by Gram Research Technology; C. iQOS aerosol coming out of nose cone: D. Rat's nose placed in the nose cone.





Figure 3. Arterial Flow-Mediated Dilation. A. Ultrasound imaging of rat femoral artery: B. FMD experimental design.

Results: FMD was impaired comparably by 5-second exposures to iQOS aerosol (9.6±1.0(SD)% pre-exposure vs. 3.8±2.6% postexposure, p=.0001 by 2-tailed paired t-test) and cigarette smoke (11.2±2.6% pre-exposure vs. 4.2±2.3% post-exposure, p=.0005). 15-second exposures to iQOS aerosol and cigarette smoke impaired FMD to a similar extent (10.6±2.9% pre-exposure vs. 4.5±1.9% post-exposure, p=.0008; and 10.6±2.0% pre-exposure vs. 4.6±1.3% post-exposure, p=.0004, respectively). FMD was not affected in the clean air control group (8.3±1.9% vs. 8.8±4.5%, p=.82) (Figure 4). The percent FMD impairment was not significantly different in groups exposed for 5 seconds compared to 15 seconds (p=.27).





Results (continue cigarette group wer humans have smol



Figure 5. Serum nicotine and cotinine levels immediately and 20 min postexposure.

Conclusion: We conclude that acute exposure to iQOS aerosol at doses relevant to real world use can substantially impair endothelial function in rats comparably to cigarette smoke despite the absence of combustion. Use of HNB tobacco products does not necessarily avoid the adverse cardiovascular effects of smoking cigarettes.

References:

Funding: This work was supported by grant
R01HL120062 from the National Heart,
Lung, and Blood Institute at the National
Institutes of Health (NIH) and the US Food
and Drug Administration (FDA) Center for
Tobacco Products. The content is solely the
responsibility of the authors and does not
necessarily represent the official views of
the NIH or the FDA.
Disclosures: none

1. Caputi, TL. Tob Control 2016-053264 (2016). 2. Philip Morris InternationI. Designing a Smoke-Free Future (Accessed October 6). Available from: https://ww vw.pmi.co 3. Smith, M.R. et al. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol S17-S26 (2016) 4. Heiss, C. et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 51:1760-71 (2008). 5. Heiss, C. et al. AJP. Heart Circ. Physiol. 294:H1086-H1093. (2008). 6. Wang, X. et al. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 5:e003858 (2016).



Problem: General public avoids tobacco SHS but many think marijuana SHS is ok



Table 4. Various Analytes Including Tobacco-Specific Compounds and Heavy Metals Determined in Sidestream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

		conditions		
		ISO	e	treme
	tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
tar (mg/cig)	24.3 ± 1.8	$49.7 \pm 2.5*$	17.2 ± 1.8	$30.8 \pm 1.6^{*}$
NO (ug/cig)	1101 ± 47	$2087 \pm 152*$	1419 ± 124	$2631 \pm 241*$
NOx (µg/cig)	1172 ± 44	$2284 \pm 229^*$	1521 ± 153	$2880 \pm 323*$
CO (mg/cig)	61.7 ± 2.0	$54.0 \pm 3.7*$	61.6 ± 2.9	$50.6 \pm 3.9^{*}$
nicotine (mg/cig)	4.77 ± 0.26	$0.065 \pm 0.018*$	3.11 ± 0.23	0.074 ± 0.029*
ammonia (µg/cig)	5568 ± 322	$14270 \pm 472^{*}$	3919 ± 327	$10743\pm675^*$
HCN (µg/cig)	83.8 ± 7.8	$685 \pm 29*$	103 ± 10	$678 \pm 72*$
NNN	41 ± 4.8	< 0.634*	28 ± 2.0	0.634-2.0*
NAT	17.4 ± 1.4	<2.34*	10.2 ± 1.1	<2.34*
NAB	2.71 ± 0.52	< 0.793*	0.79 - 2.5	< 0.793
NNK	92 ± 11.7	<4.65*	61 ± 5.1	<4.65*
mercury	8.32 ± 0.57	<4.40*	6.31 ± 0.61	<4.40*
cadmium	478 ± 19	4.0-13.4*	360 ± 20	4.0-13.4*
lead	34.5-115	<34.5	34.5-115	<34.5
chromium	31.0-103	31.0-103	<31.0	31.0-103
nickel	35.5-118	35.5-118	<35.5	<35.5
arsenic	<11.3	<11.3	<11.3	<11.3
selenium	<17.5	<17.5	<17.5	<17.5

^a Values are provided ± standard deviations. For tar, nicotine, and CO, n = 20. For all others, n = 7. Units are ng/cigarette unless noted differently. *P < 0.05 vs tobacco. Values shown with "<" were below the limit of detection; values shown as a range were above the limit of detection but below the limit of quantitation.

Table 5. Miscellaneous Organics Determined in Mainstream and Sidestream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

	15	50	extr	reme
	tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
		mainstream		
pyridine	31.1 ± 1.7	34.6 ± 4.3	59 ± 4.9	$93.0\pm8.9*$
quinoline	1.31 ± 0.08	$1.06 \pm 0.26*$	2.22 ± 0.22	2.68 ± 0.34
1,3-butadiene	64.8 ± 2.2	$79.5 \pm 7.4^{*}$	124 ± 7	138 ± 17
isoprene	286 ± 15	$74.0 \pm 6.5^{*}$	540 ± 18	$132 \pm 19*$
acrylonitrile	13 ± 1.2	$36.6 \pm 4.3^{*}$	24 ± 0.9	$66.9 \pm 9.5^{*}$
benzene	62.2 ± 3.5	58.3 ± 5.9	94.6 ± 2.6	$84.4 \pm 8.9^{*}$
toluene	103 ± 6	$124 \pm 15^{*}$	169 ± 3	$199 \pm 25*$
styrene	15 ± 0.6	$17.2 \pm 2.3^{*}$	28.6 ± 2.0	$44.7\pm4.2^*$
		sidestream		
pyridine	265 ± 11	$307 \pm 14^{*}$	225 ± 9	$278 \pm 22*$
quinoline	9.94 ± 0.92	$11.3 \pm 0.7*$	8.53 ± 0.54	9.82 ± 1.10^{a}
1,3-butadiene	372 ± 12	$412 \pm 27^{*}$	269 ± 13	$420 \pm 22^{*}$
isoprene	1459 ± 82	$656 \pm 40^{*}$	1153 ± 51	$614 \pm 31*$
acrylonitrile	102 ± 4	$295 \pm 21*$	73.8 ± 4.7	$273 \pm 17*$
benzene	290 ± 11	$341 \pm 12^{*}$	203 ± 11	$328 \pm 18*$
toluene	516 ± 20	$704 \pm 29^{*}$	393 ± 32	$729 \pm 28*$
styrene	105 ± 10	$162 \pm 10^{*}$	85.2 ± 10.6	$175 \pm 9^{*}$

"Values are provided \pm standard deviations; n = 7. Units are $\mu g/r$ cigarette. *P < 0.05 vs tobacco.

marijuana was ammonia. In marijuana smoke, ammonia was found at levels about 20-fold those in tobacco in mainstream smoke (Table 3) and about 3-fold greater in sidestream smoke (Table 4), although the absolute values were very much greater in sidestream smoke. The amount of ammonia produced during combustion of tobacco has been related to the amount of nitrate fertilizer applied during growth (30). The simplest explanation for the very high levels of ammonia found in marijuana smoke may be that the marijuana used for this study contained more nitrate than the tobacco sample. The marijuana plants were grown on soil-less growth medium. All fertilizers were commercially available and consisted of water-soluble hydroponic vegetable fertilizers used for horticulture and contained nitrogen

Table 6.	Aromatic Amines Determined in Mainstream and	
Sidestream	m Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two	0
	Smoking Conditions ^a	

Table 9. PAHs and Aza-arenes Determined in Mainstream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

	I	ISO		eme	
	tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana	
	ma	unstream			
1-aminonaphthalene	24.9 ± 2.6	$84.4 \pm 13.2*$	35.1 ± 5.7	$178 \pm 17^{*}$	
2-aminonaphthalene	9.38 ± 0.62	$33.6 \pm 3.5^{*}$	12.9 ± 1.2	$66.3 \pm 6.8*$	
3-aminobiphenyl	2.22 ± 0.18	$9.15 \pm 0.63^{*}$	3.68 ± 0.44	$18.8 \pm 1.8^{*}$	
4-aminobiphenyl	1.56 ± 0.13	$6.17\pm0.44*$	2.54 ± 0.17	$13.5 \pm 1.5^{*}$	
	sic	lestream			
1-aminonaphthalene	195 ± 16	$305 \pm 21*$	144 ± 8	$266 \pm 23^{*}$	
2-aminonaphthalene	136 ± 7	177 ± 19*	79.4 ± 7.4	$139 \pm 12^{*}$	
3-aminobiphenyl	33 ± 2.1	$50.4 \pm 3.7*$	19.7 ± 1.6	$40.6 \pm 2.4*$	
4-aminobiphenyl	23.2 ± 1.8	$31.2 \pm 2.8*$	13.9 ± 1.3	27.3 ± 2.2	

^a Values are provided \pm standard deviations; n = 7. Units are ng/ cigarette. *P < 0.05 vs tobacco.

Table 7. Selected Carbonyl Compounds Determined in Mainstream and Sidestream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

	15	50	ext	reme
	tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
	m	ainstream		
formaldehyde	200 ± 28	$25.1 \pm 2.7*$	543 ± 91	$66.5 \pm 11.8*$
acetaldehyde	872 ± 101	$448 \pm 44^{*}$	1555 ± 222	$1021 \pm 99*$
acetone	454 ± 44	$237 \pm 23^{*}$	826 ± 93	$514 \pm 32^{*}$
acrolein	125 ± 13	$54.3 \pm 4.5^{*}$	251 ± 32	$148 \pm 13^{*}$
propionaldehyde	72.1 ± 8.1	$32.3 \pm 3.2*$	97.8 ± 14.4	$74.0 \pm 6.4^{*}$
crotonaldehyde	62.9 ± 7.3	$23.1 \pm 1.5^{*}$	127 ± 17	$56.7 \pm 7.7^{*}$
methyl ethyl ketone	135 ± 16	$62.4 \pm 5.5^{*}$	265 ± 27	$140 \pm 7^{*}$
butyraldehyde	47.1 ± 5.7	46.5 ± 3.8	77.1 ± 10.0	$110\pm8^*$
	227			

	S	idestream		
formaldehyde	886 ± 47	$383 \pm 27*$	662 ± 29	$202 \pm 34^{*}$
acetaldehyde	1587 ± 45	$1170\pm69^*$	1383 ± 37	$896 \pm 112^*$
acetone	828 ± 22	$566 \pm 34^{*}$	720 ± 22	$405 \pm 54^{*}$
acrolein	437 ± 10	$304 \pm 20^{*}$	316 ± 12	$179 \pm 24^{*}$
propionaldehyde	121 ± 6	120 ± 6	116 ± 5	$93.4 \pm 11.7*$
crotonaldehyde	106 ± 3	$49.9 \pm 3.8^{*}$	97.5 ± 8.7	$42.9 \pm 4.7^{*}$
methyl ethyl ketone	222 ± 9	$160 \pm 11^{*}$	202 ± 17	$116 \pm 13^{*}$
butyraldehyde	67.1 ± 2.7	$173\pm12^*$	60.2 ± 1.7	$139\pm13^*$

"Values are provided \pm standard deviations; n = 7. Units are $\mu g/$ cigarette. *P < 0.05 vs tobacco.

in the form of both nitrate and ammoniacal nitrogen. However, it is not known to what extent the differences in the growing conditions between the marijuana and the tobacco, including the types of fertilizers used, influenced the levels of nitrates in the plants. The temperature of combustion can also influence the production of ammonia. Burning tobacco results in a reduction of nitrate to ammonia, which is released to a greater extent during sidestream smoke formation (31), suggesting that lower combustion temperatures favor the production of ammonia. Combustion temperature differences between marijuana and tobacco may have also contributed to the differences in ammonia yield, but this was not verified.

Tobacco-specific nitrosamines were not found in the marijuana smoke (Tables 3 and 4). This result was expected, given that these compounds are derived from nicotine. Arsenic and lead were also noticeably absent from the marijuana smoke, which is consistent with the certificate of analysis provided with the plant material (data not shown). Again, this could be a function of the relatively controlled growth conditions.

NO and NO, were significantly elevated in the marijuana smoke under both smoking regimes and in mainstream (Table 3) and sidestream smoke (Table 4). A logical explanation would be that these are arising from the nitrate present in the fertilizer and would be consistent with the very high ammonia yields. detection.

	Conditions							
		15	50	ext	reme			
no.		tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana			
1	naphthalene	2907 ± 159	$2070 \pm 290^{*}$	4908 ± 456	4459 ± 646			
2	1-methylnaphthalene	2789 ± 176	$2057 \pm 302*$	4888 ± 491	4409 ± 604			
3	2-methylnaphthalene	2093 ± 137	$1292 \pm 189^*$	3666 ± 374	$2917 \pm 477^*$			
4	acenaphthylene	385 ± 22	$235 \pm 31*$	711 ± 51	$459 \pm 60^{*}$			
5	acenaphthene	172 ± 10	$91.2 \pm 10.2^{*}$	309 ± 22	$213 \pm 48*$			
6 7	fluorene	769 ± 42	$366 \pm 37*$	1369 ± 100	$659 \pm 64*$			
	phenanthrene	293 ± 14	273 ± 23	515 ± 32	476 ± 45			
8	anthracene	91.8 ± 5.4	$70.9 \pm 6.7^{*}$	162 ± 13	$136 \pm 9*$			
9	fluoranthene	96.8 ± 3.7	$65.6 \pm 6.5^{*}$	171 ± 11	$117 \pm 12^{*}$			
10	pyrene	88.8 ± 4.3	$45.6 \pm 4.4^{*}$	154 ± 12	$82.3 \pm 11.2^*$			
11	benzo(a)anthracene	30.5 ± 2.5	$26.2 \pm 3.4*$	52 ± 5.8	$43.1 \pm 7.9*$			
12	chrysene	38.8 ± 2.3	$26.2 \pm 1.4^{*}$	61.7 ± 7.4	56.3 ± 7.9			
13	benzo(b)fluoranthene	10.8 ± 0.6	$7.18 \pm 1.12^{*}$	21.9 ± 3.1	$16.2 \pm 3.6*$			
14	benzo(k)fluoranthene	3.42 ± 0.32	$1.52 \pm 0.26^{*}$	7.45 ± 1.47	$4.54 \pm 0.96^{*}$			
15	benzo(e)pyrene	11 ± 0.6	$6.15 \pm 0.37^*$	19.2 ± 1.3	$12.6 \pm 2.7*$			
16	benzo(a)pyrene	14.3 ± 1.2	$8.67 \pm 1.12^*$	25.1 ± 2.5	$15.5 \pm 2.9*$			
17	perylene	3.9 ± 0.46	3.72 ± 0.79	10.8 ± 2.3	$6.10 \pm 0.82 *$			
18	indeno(1,2,3,-cd)pyrene	4.58 ± 0.89	$3.60 \pm 0.48^*$	10.1 ± 0.9	8.65 ± 3.11			
19	dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1.15 ± 0.21	$1.41 \pm 0.19^{*}$	4.84 ± 1.05	$2.83 \pm 0.59^{*}$			
20	benzo(g,h,i)perylene	3.77 ± 0.66	$2.56 \pm 0.36*$	7.17 ± 1.02	6.03 ± 2.34			
21	5-methylchrysene	< 0.035	< 0.035	< 0.071	< 0.071			
22	benzo(b)fluoranthene	11.5 ± 1.4	$6.47 \pm 0.86^{*}$	19.1 ± 1.7	17.6 ± 1.4			
23	benzo(j)fluoranthene	5.81 ± 0.44	$4.27 \pm 0.83^{*}$	13.3 ± 1.8	12.2 ± 2.1			
24	dibenz(a,h)acridine	< 0.314	< 0.314	< 0.628	< 0.628			
25	dibenz(a,j)acridine	< 0.260	< 0.260	< 0.519	< 0.519			
26	7H-dibenzo(c,g)carbazole	< 0.139	< 0.139	< 0.278	< 0.278			
27	dibenz(a,l)pyrene	< 0.317	< 0.317	< 0.634	< 0.634			
28	dibenz(a,e)pyrene	0.531 ± 0.198	0.156-0.522	< 0.313	< 0.313			
29	dibenz(a,i)pyrene	0.987 ± 0.145	0.164-0.548*	2.55 ± 0.60	< 0.329*			
30	dibenz(a,h)pyrene	0.177 - 0.589	< 0.177	< 0.354	< 0.354			

^a Values are provided \pm standard deviations; n = 7. Units are ng/cigarette. *P < 0.05 vs tobacco. Values shown with "<" were below the limit of detection; values shown as a range were above the limit of detection but below the limit of quantitation.

Table 10. PAHs and Aza-arenes Determined in Sidestream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions

		Con	uluolis		
			ISO	ex	treme
no.		tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
1	naphthalene	6861 ± 419	$16748 \pm 2396^*$	10111 ± 758	$14398 \pm 2614*$
2	1-methylnaphthalene	6265 ± 365	$14812 \pm 1511^*$	7115 ± 787	$11016 \pm 2954^*$
3	2-methylnaphthalene	6513 ± 306	$11832 \pm 1078^*$	7137 ± 778	9030 ± 2236
4	acenaphthylene	2684 ± 184	$4056 \pm 452^*$	2171 ± 123	$2876 \pm 571^*$
5	acenaphthene	960 ± 31	$1345 \pm 101^*$	791 ± 51	873 ± 163
6	fluorene	1429 ± 71	$1073 \pm 72^{*}$	1242 ± 56	$873 \pm 67*$
7	phenanthrene	2818 ± 112	$4932 \pm 306^{*}$	2117 ± 98	$3113 \pm 477^*$
8	anthracene	755 ± 38	$1135 \pm 75^{*}$	542 ± 26	$693 \pm 111^*$
9	fluoranthene	699 ± 26	$952 \pm 61*$	520 ± 24	$619 \pm 78^{*}$
10	pyrene	528 ± 35	$609 \pm 60^{*}$	377 ± 25	398 ± 38
11	benzo(a)anthracene	159 ± 8	$245 \pm 16*$	113 ± 7	$170 \pm 21*$
12	chrysene	401 ± 21	$488 \pm 28*$	291 ± 18	$331 \pm 27*$
13	benzo(b)fluoranthene	98.4 ± 8.4	$114 \pm 7*$	79.8 ± 4.3	80.3 ± 8.0
14	benzo(k)fluoranthene	25.8 ± 4.1	27.3 ± 2.8	19.3 ± 3.1	19.7 ± 2.2
15	benzo(e)pyrene	94.9 ± 6.9	87.9 ± 7.5	72.9 ± 3.8	$63.1 \pm 6.2^{*}$
16	benzo(a)pyrene	91.7 ± 7.1	$101 \pm 9^{*}$	62.7 ± 4.2	$69.7 \pm 6.3^*$
17	perylene	23.6 ± 2.9	26.4 ± 4.7	16.4 ± 1.7	$19.9 \pm 2.7^{*}$
18	indeno(1,2,3,-cd)pyrene	41.7 ± 5.7	45.9 ± 6.8	32.8 ± 6.6	27.4 ± 3.3
19	dibenz(a,h)anthracene	13.8 ± 3.1	15.6 ± 3.2	13.9 ± 2.8	$10.8 \pm 1.2^{*}$
20	benzo(g,h,i)perylene	44.7 ± 8.0	41.8 ± 9.6	32.8 ± 7.2	30 ± 5.0
21	5-methylchrysene	< 0.354	< 0.354	< 0.354	< 0.354
22	benzo(b)fluoranthene	118 ± 9	$102 \pm 11^{*}$	90.4 ± 5.6	86.7 ± 12.5
23	benzo(j)fluoranthene	102 ± 7	$120 \pm 16^{*}$	72.3 ± 6.2	$124 \pm 14^{*}$
24	dibenz(a,h)acridine	<3.138	< 3.138	<3.138	<3.138
25	dibenz(a,j)acridine	<2.597	<2.597	<2.597	<2.597
26	7H-dibenzo(c,g)carbazole	<1.389	<1.389	<1.389	<1.389
27	dibenz(a,l)pyrene	<3.172	<3.172	<3.172	<3.172
28	dibenz(a,e)pyrene	<1.565	<1.565	<1.565	<1.565
29	dibenz(a,i)pyrene	<1.644	<1.644	<1.644	<1.644
30	dibenz(a,h)pyrene	<1.768	<1.768	<1.768	<1.768

^a Values are provided ± standard deviations; n = 7. Units are ng/cigarette. *P < 0.05 vs tobacco. Values shown with "<" were below the limit of

ammonia (μ g/cig)

pyridine (μ g/cig)

benzene (μ g/cig)

toluene (μ g/cig)

styrene (µg/cig)

HCN (µg/cig)

Chem. Res. Toxicol., Vol. 21, No. 2, 2008 497 Mainstream and Sidestream Tobacco vs Marijuana

2.160 ± 11* < 0.315

 $104 \pm 6^*$

 87.9 ± 7.5

1135 ± 75*

260 ± 1.1 below the limit of

Table 4. Various Analytes Including Tobacco-Specific

 222 ± 9

 64.6 ± 2.5

 94.9 ± 6.9

 755 ± 38

pyrene P(ng/cig)arenes Determined in Sidestream S528 ± 35cco and Ma609 ± 60* Smoking

264 ± 13 ... Values s

		Determined in Sidestream	Sidestream Smo	ke from Tobacco and Marijuana under T	`wo	_	Con	ditions ^a	-0	
Smoke fro	om Tobacco and Mari Conditio	ijuana under Two Smoking ons ^a		Dried pl	ant	emoko		ISO		
					an	SIIIUNC	tobacco			
				mainstream	2				4908 ± 456 4888 ± 491	4459 ± 646 4409 ± 604
	$\begin{array}{c} 24.3 \pm 1.8 & 49.7 \pm 2.5 \\ 1101 \pm 47 & 2087 \pm 15 \\ 1172 \pm 44 & 2284 \pm 22 \\ 61.7 \pm 2.0 & 54.0 \pm 3.7 \\ \end{array}$	simil	ar ch	nemicals		varied p	prop	ortior	3666 ± 374 51 22 1369 ± 100 515 ± 32	$2917 \pm 477^{*}$ $459 \pm 60^{*}$ $213 \pm 48^{*}$ $659 \pm 64^{*}$ 476 ± 45
	$4.77 \pm 0.26 \ 0.065 \pm 0.05568 \pm 322 \ 14270 \pm 4.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	$\begin{array}{l} 018^{*} & 3.11 \pm 0.23 & 0.074 \pm 0.029^{*} \\ 72^{*} & 3919 \pm 327 & 10743 \pm 675^{*} \end{array}$		sidestream e 195 ± 16 $305 \pm 21^{\circ}$ 144 ± 8 $266 \pm 305 \pm 21^{\circ}$ 144 ± 7 144 ± 8 $266 \pm 300 \pm 100$	23* 9 12* 10		91.8 ± 5.4 96.8 ± 3.7 88.8 ± 4.3	$70.9 \pm 6.7^{*}$ $65.6 \pm 6.5^{*}$ $45.6 \pm 4.4^{*}$	162 ± 13 171 ± 11 154 ± 12	$136 \pm 9^{*}$ $117 \pm 12^{*}$ $82.3 \pm 11.2^{*}$
(µg/cig) CN (µg/cig) NN AT AB	Sampl	e comparis	ions of (components of wided \pm standard deviations; $n = 7$. Units are 5 vs tobacco	tobac	co and marij	uana s	secondhar		bke ^{43,1±7.9*} ^{16,2±3.6*} ^{16,2±3.6*} ^{4,54±0.96*}
NK ercury admium	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 92 \pm 11.7 & <4.65 \\ 8.32 \pm 0.57 & <4.40 \\ 478 \pm 19 & 4.0 \\ -13.4 \\ \end{array}$	61±5.1 <4.65* 6.31±0.61 <4.40° toba 360±20 4.0-13.4*	ICCOFable 7. Sele	ec marijuana unds Determined ir and Sidestream Smoke from Tobacco and	15 16 17 18	benzo(a)pyrene benzo(a)pyrene perylene indeno(1,2,3,-cd)pyrene	11 ± 0.6 14.3 ± 1.2 3.9 ± 0.46 4.58 ± 0.89	tobacco	mariju	ana ^{5 ± 2.9*} 8.65 ± 3.11
tar	(mg/cig)	<pre>31.5 -115 -34.5 <31.0 31.0 24.3 <35.5 <35.5</pre>	± 1.8	49.7 ± 2.5*	napht	halene (ng/cig)	4.33 ± 0.89 1.15 ± 0.21 3.77 ± 0.66	6861 ± 419	16748	± 2396*
NO	(µg/cig)		± 47	2087 ± 152*tobacco marijua	forma	ldehyde (µg/cig)	< 0.035 11.5 ± 1.4	888 ± 47	383 ± 2	27* ^{<0.071}
CO	(mg/cig)	deviations. For tar, nicot 6 ,1an 7 Juits are ng/cigarette unless noted	± 2.0 lehyde	54.0 ± 3.7* 543 ± 91 66.5 ± 1	aceta	ldehyde (µg/cig)	5.81 ± 0.44 < 0.314 < 0.260	1587 ± 45	1170 ±	69*
nico	otine (mg/c	big) were above the 4.77	± 0.26	0.065 ± 0.018*	acrole	ein (µg/cig)		437 ± 10	304 ± 2	20*<0.278 <0.634

From Moir et al., 2008. Subset of 65 components analyzed under standard tobacco smoking conditions

styrene =	10 010	A French and the	1010 210	-1-117 -111 -10 -	
pyridine		$307 \pm 14^{*}$	225 ± 9	$278 \pm 22^{*}$	
quinoline	9.94 ± 0.92		8.53 ± 0.54		
1,3-butadiene		$412 \pm 27^{*}$	269 ± 13	$420 \pm 22^{*}$	
isoprene	1459 ± 82	$656 \pm 40^{*}$	1153 ± 51	$614 \pm 31^{++}$	
acrylonitrile	102 ± 4		73.8 ± 4.7		
benzene	290 ± 11	$341 \pm 12^{*}$		$328 \pm 18^{*}$	
toluene	516 ± 20	$704 \pm 29^{*}$			
styrene			85.2 ± 10.6	$175 \pm 9^{*}$	

Inhaling a whole

5568 ± 322

265 ± 161 maldehyde

516 ± 20

138 **105** ± 10 raldehyde

290 ± 11

bacco and Marijuana 83.8 ± 7.8 dehyde

 $14270 \pm 472^*$

 $307 \pm 14^*$

341 ± 12*

704 ± 29*

 $162 \pm 10^*$

685 ± 29*8 77.1 ± 10.0 110 ± 8*

chemistry lab.



methyl ethyl ketone (μ g/cig)

phenol (μ g/cig)

m + p-cresols ($\mu q/ciq$)

anthracene (ng/cig)

benzo(e)pyrene (ng/cig)

00	DEA Registration Form 225A: Schedules	H.
< ► A A 🖌 🛃 🕂 🚮 https 🔒 ww	w.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/webforms/feeExemptionDetail.do C Reader	0
☞ 때 IIII Matt Khach-22 Lab Quake	talks Blog CNN KCBS BigCharts Weather Pandora UCSF UCSF directory HBS N-Judah UC Maps	>>
	DEA Registration Form 225A: Schedules	+
Files Please make any requested changes to your registered schedules.	U.S. Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration Office of Diversion Control 2. Business Activity/Schedules Activity/Schedules Mur business activity is: RESEARCHER (I) Please make any requested changes to your registered schedules below, and select Next to continue. DRUG SCHEDULES <u>see schedules</u> Select all that apply Schedule I s the researcher human? Both _Human Only _Non-Human Only Check here if you require order forms to only purchase Schedule I and II from suppliers. Fields with a (*) are required. Next->	

One Minute of Marijuana Secondhand Smoke Exposure Substantially Impairs Vascular Endothelial Function

Xiaoyin Wang, MD; Ronak Derakhshandeh, MS; Jiangtao Liu, MD; Shilpa Narayan, BS;* Pooneh Nabavizadeh, MD; Stephenie Le, BA;[†] Olivia M. Danforth, BS;[‡] Kranthi Pinnamaneni, MD; Hilda J. Rodriguez, AS; Emmy Luu, BS; Richard E. Sievers, BS; Suzaynn F. Schick, PhD; Stanton A. Glantz, PhD; Matthew L. Springer, PhD



"30 minutes is kind of long, how about shorter times?"

marijuana 1 min



59% drop in FMD

Marijuana SHS for 1 minute lowered FMD

"Could the impairment in FMD be caused by smoke from the burning paper, rather than tobacco and marijuana?"







SHS from marijuana without paper still impairs FMD

"They totally smoke out the rats"



The smoke was invisible in the exposure chamber

"How long does it take for FMD to recover?"

1 minute exposure (THC-free) 25 20



Marijuana SHS for 1 minute lowered FMD for at least 90 minutes

"How do marijuana and tobacco compare in impairment of FMD?"



Impairment from one minute of marijuana SHS persists longer than impairment from tobacco SHS

Summary of marijuana results Wang et al., 2016, J Am Heart Assoc 5:e003858

Marijuana SHS for one minute substantially impairs vascular endothelial function in rats.

Neither THC nor paper smoke are required for marijuana SHS to impair vascular function.

...nicotine is not required for impairment of vascular function by smoke.

One minute of marijuana SHS exposure impairs vascular function for at least 90 minutes, longer than impairment from tobacco SHS.

Acute and long-term cardiovascular risk is unclear

Risk of MI goes up ~5-fold in the hour after marijuana use (Mittleman, 2001)

Mortality from MI may or may not increase in marijuana users (Mukamal, 2008; Frost, 2013)

No clear correlation between long-term marijuana use and cardiovascular disease later in life (e.g., Reis, 2017 CARDIA study)

...but, increased MI, heart failure, stroke reported for marijuana users relative to non-users (e.g., Kalla et al., Chami et al., 2017 ACC conference)

Physicians

Presented at the Pediatric Academic Societies 2016 Meeting (Dr. Karen Wilson):

One in six infants and toddlers admitted to a Colorado hospital with coughing, wheezing and other symptoms of bronchiolitis tested positive for marijuana exposure.


Steering Committee

Gavin Newsom Lieutenant Governor of California

Who We Are

The Blue Ribbon Commission on Marijuana Policy was formed in light of the likelihood that a marijuana legalization initiative will be placed policy challenges and offer possible solutions. The Commission is comprised of leading policymakers, public health experts and

Toronto Star 11/25/15:

Using medical marijuana now OK in public places in Ontario under new regulations

The exemption includes everything from movie theatres to restaurants, offices, stadiums, playgrounds full of children and more

Toronto Star 11/26/15:

Ontario government taking medical marijuana plan back to the drawing board

Associate Health Minister Dipika Damerla quickly reversed course Thursday over concerns about exposure to second-hand cannabis smoke in restaurants, theatres, offices and other public spaces where tobacco smoking is banned.

California State Assembly bill AB 2300 (Jim Woods)

Clarifies that landlords can prohibit smoking of marijuana even with medicinal ID card in properties where tobacco smoking is banned

- Our 2014 report cited as a major reason for the bill
- Passed State Assembly Judiciary Committee 10-0
- Passed State Assembly 77-0 (3 non-votes)
- Died in State Senate Judiciary Committee

Regulators and lawmakers Pleasanton Weekly.com

Но	me New	vs To	wn Square	Blogs	A&E	Real	Estate	Print Edition
NE	WS							
% 4	f У 🚱 🗹	•						

Uploaded: Tue, Aug 15, 2017, 11:40 pm

City Council tweaks proposal for smoking restrictions at apartments

Medical marijuana smoking, with doctor's note, would be allowed only in designated area at complexes

by Jeremy Walsh / Pleasanton Weekly

The Pleasanton City Council took another step Tuesday toward establishing new stringent

_

The Pleasanton City Council took another step Tuesday toward establishing new stringent smoking regulations for rental apartment complexes across the city.

Reviewing a proposed ordinance they gave initial support to two months ago, council members left most of the original proposal intact -- including the ban on tobacco smoking in apartment units and common areas -- but they adjusted course to limit medical marijuana smoking at complexes only to designated outdoor smoking areas.

Vice Mayor Jerry Pentin said he supported that option for medical marijuana smoking "so we're not banning it entirely but we're still keeping it away from people who are inside their own rental units and dealing with secondhand smoke."

City staff's recommendation was to allow medical marijuana smoking inside apartment units "if tenant provides landlord written documentation that tenant needs it for medical purposes, no alternative means of delivery or ingestion are available and tenant is unable to smoke outside," assistant city attorney Larissa Seto said.

The council's follow-up discussion Tuesday focused on how to reduce the effects of secondhand smoke on neighbors living in close quarters in apartments while accommodating residents who rely on marijuana for legitimate medical reasons but can only smoke it -- and what about residents physically unable to leave their apartments...

Tamiko Johnson of the Alameda County Public Health Department also voiced support for prohibiting medical marijuana smoking indoors.

"There's no safe level of secondhand smoke exposure," Johnson told the council. "From experience with other cities, gaining compliance with your smoke-free-housing law and having effective enforcement if you're allowing someone to smoke anything inside their apartment is going to be incredibly difficult for you all."

"I don't have problems with people smoking medical marijuana. I have problems with people who smoke it and then the person next door has to suffer from the secondhand smoke," Pentin said.

"When people take medication, it normally doesn't affect anybody else. And in this case, it does affect other people," Mayor Jerry Thorne added. "I just kind of wonder where you draw the line here. It's kind of darned if you do, darned if you don't."







EXPERT Q&A Do Researchers Have the Right Marijuana?

by JEANINE BARONE | OCTOBER 25, 2016



Matt Springer, PhD, is a

Professor of Medicine at the University of California, San Francisco, who studies the effects of secondhand marijuana smoke. He spoke with us about the implications

of the <u>recent announcement</u> by the federal Drug Enforcement Administration that it will lift restrictions on the growing of marijuana to supply researchers.

How will the DEA's announcement affect your research?

Not as much as you might think. I still will not be able to study what I'd like to. I'd like to study realworld cannabis used by real people. UCSF used real-world cigarettes, not research-reference cigarettes, for tobacco studies in the past. But (mirabegron) extended-release tablets 25 mg, 50 mg

Get your first prescription at no cost!*

Start now >

*Restrictions apply.

IMPORTANT SAFETY INFORMATION

Myrbetriq[®] (mirabegron) is not for everyone. Do

(Disclosure: Dr. Springer has no financial ties to Myrbetriq, nor does he even have the faintest idea of what it is except that it apparently is not for everyone)



Public Release

Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids

Current State of Evidence and Recommendations for Research

This report will be available to download as a free pdf: Nationalacademies.org/CannabisHeal thEffects

The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

Cardiometabolic Risk

• The evidence is unclear as to whether and how cannabis use is associated with heart attack, stroke, and diabetes.

The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

Policy Goals:

Public exposure to secondhand smoke should be avoided whether the source is tobacco or marijuana.

Policy Goals: Change the perception and the dialogue

Policy Goals: Change the perception and the dialogue



stay tuned

If the FMD impairment by marijuana smoke is caused by the dried plant material combustion smoke, rather than the THC...





"Smokewar" by Rui Zheng, 2013 (the daughter of Xiaoyin Wang in my lab)